



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/560,244

12/12/2005

Toshiaki Kashihara

Q91286

4994

23373

7590

12/21/2007

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HONG VINH T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2834

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/21/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/560,244

Applicant(s)

KASHIHARA ET AL.

Examiner

Hong-Vinh Nguyen

Art Unit

2834

**All Participants:**(1) Hong-Vinh Nguyen.(2) Rohit K. Krishna.**Status of Application:** Finally Rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 December 2007**Time:** 9:15 am**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 &amp; 11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KARL TAMAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Both claims require further consideration, however, it appears that the amendment to claim 1 does overcome the prior art of record. Claim 11 is new but it does not appear that it will overcome the prior art of record specifically with the "different space gaps" limitation.